

Poor kids who do everything right don't do better than rich kids who do everything wrong

By **Matt O'Brien** October 18, 2014

America is the land of opportunity, just for some more than others.

That's because, in large part, inequality starts in the crib. Rich parents can afford to spend more time and money on their kids, and that gap has only grown the past few decades. Indeed, economists [Greg Duncan and Richard Murnane](#) calculate that, between 1972 and 2006, high-income parents increased their spending on "enrichment activities" for their children by 151 percent in inflation-adjusted terms, compared to 57 percent for low-income parents.

But, of course, it's not just a matter of dollars and cents. It's also a matter of letters and words. Affluent parents talk to their kids [three more hours a week](#) on average than poor parents, which is critical during a child's formative early years. That's why, as Stanford professor [Sean Reardon](#) explains, "rich students are increasingly entering kindergarten much better prepared to succeed in school than middle-class students," and they're staying that way.

It's an educational arms race that's leaving many kids far, far behind.

It's depressing, but not nearly so much as this:

Even poor kids who do everything right don't do much better than rich kids who do everything wrong. Advantages and disadvantages, in other words, tend to perpetuate themselves. You can see that in the above chart, based on a new paper from [Richard Reeves and Isabel Sawhill](#), presented at the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston's annual conference, which is underway.

Specifically, rich high school dropouts remain in the top about as much as poor college grads stay stuck in the bottom — 14 versus 16 percent, respectively. Not only that, but these low-income strivers are just as likely to end up in the bottom as these wealthy ne'er-do-wells. Some meritocracy.

What's going on? Well, it's all about glass floors and glass ceilings. Rich kids who can go work for the family business — and, in Canada at least, 70 percent of the sons of the top 1 percent do just that — or inherit the family estate don't need a high school diploma to get ahead. It's an extreme example of what economists call "opportunity hoarding." That includes everything from legacy college admissions to unpaid internships that let affluent parents rig the game a little more in their children's favor.

Wonkbook newsletter

Your daily policy cheat sheet from Wonkblog.

[Sign up](#)

But even if they didn't, low-income kids would still have a hard time getting ahead. That's, in part, because they're targets for diploma mills that load them up with debt, but not a lot of prospects. And even if they do get a good degree, at least when it comes to black families, they're more likely to still live in impoverished neighborhoods that keep them disconnected from opportunities.

It's not quite a heads-I-win, tails-you-lose game where rich kids get better educations, yet still get ahead even if they don't— but it's close enough. And if it keeps up, the American Dream will be just that.

Related links:

[One in four Americans think poor people don't work hard enough](#)

[What your 1st-grade life says about the rest of it](#)

Matt O'Brien is a reporter for Wonkblog covering economic affairs. He was previously a senior associate editor at The Atlantic. [Follow @ObsoleteDogma](#)

PAID PROMOTED STORIES

Recommended by



How To Fix Your Fatigue (Do This Every Day)

[EnergyAtAnyAge.com](#)



Forget the Big Names – These Are the Best Colleges in America [Slideshow]

[Forbes](#)



Ranking Each State by Standardized Test Scores

[StartClass](#)



The Best Small, Compact SUVs

Yahoo Search



How 2 Boston Grads Are Disrupting a \$19 Billion Industry

EverQuote



10 Classic Baby Girl Names That Are Ready to Make a Comeback

Ancestry

