



**PRE-SENTENCE INVESTIGATION**  
**Confidential**

AGENT NAME	LOCATION	DATE PREPARED
Abbey Fuszard	Unit 310	July 2, 2014

PERSONAL INFORMATION				
NAME				DATE OF BIRTH
ROBERT NONAME				04/11/1982
STREET				WI SID#
1405 Langdon Street Apt A				30993099
CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE	DOC#
Milwaukee	Wisconsin	55555	Milwaukee	612541
				FBI #

**OFFENSE AND COURT DATA**

JUDGE		COURT BRANCH #	COUNTY OF COMMITMENT	DISTRICT ATTORNEY	DEFENSE ATTORNEY	SENTENCING DATE		
Carl Ashley		41	Milwaukee	John Chisholm	John Burke	03/26/2014		
COURT CASE #	CT	OFFENSE/STATUTE #		LEVEL	CLASS	OFFENSE DATE	ARREST DATE	CONVICTION DATE
06CF000411	1	Felon in Possession of a Fire Arm—941.29		Felony	G	07/17/2013	07/17/2013	01/07/2014
MAX/MIN:		Max incarceration: 10 years, initial max 5 years						

**OTHER CONSIDERATIONS**

TYPE OF CASE				CURRENTLY ON SUPERVISION	DNA REQUIRED	DOJ CONFIRMED
<input type="checkbox"/> OLD LAW	<input type="checkbox"/> NEW LAW	<input type="checkbox"/> TIS I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TIS II	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>STATUTORILY ELIGIBLE FOR CHALLENGE INCARCERATION PROGRAM (CIP)</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			<b>STATUTORILY ELIGIBLE FOR SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROGRAM</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		<b>LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	



## PRESENT OFFENSE

### **Description of Offense:**

According to the Criminal Complaint on 7-17-06 at 1270 W. Glendale Ave in the City of Milwaukee Robert Noname, having been previously convicted of a felony offense was illegally in possession of a firearm.

Milwaukee Police were alerted to a vehicle driven by this defendant reportedly carrying a gun in his lap. This was witnessed by an anonymous citizen in a higher vehicle who had provided vehicle information to the police.

Upon stopping this vehicle, the gun was found in plain view of the floor board at which time this defendant admitted the weapon was his and he had previously been convicted of a felony offense in 2000.

### **Defendant's Version:**

Mr. Noname stated on 7-17-06 he was indeed found with a weapon on his lap in his fiancé's car. He admitted to police the weapon was his. However, it was not his weapon but he did not want his fiancé to be punished. He stated prior to that date he had a BBQ at his house, however no drugs or alcohol were used. He invited a "friend" who inadvertently brought a weapon with him. He warned the individual that he was not to be carrying a weapon at his house. The friend, Brandon, asked him if Mr. Noname could hold the weapon for him until he was leaving to which he agreed. Mr. Noname then explained that Brandon was younger and he had known him from about the age of 13 when he started cutting his hair. He admittedly had known Brandon to be in trouble from time to time and in need of guidance. He further stated this reminded him much of himself at that age and he wanted to assist him in taking a better path for himself.

When the party was over, Brandon's ride was no longer there. Mr. Noname agreed to drive him home, but refused to ride with the gun in his car telling him he would need to arrange to have someone pick it up. Mr. Noname stated he was uncomfortable having a weapon in his house as he has children who visit him on the weekends and he did not want to keep it there. Mr. Noname admitted he attempted to call Brandon and even stopped by his house inquiring when the weapon was to be taken. He was alerted Brandon had been arrested for selling drugs. It was then Mr. Noname decided Brandon did not need this gun and furthermore he was not keeping it any longer at his house. Mr. Noname stated he and his fiancé set out to sell the weapon. He put the gun in his lap and got into his car with his fiancé as the passenger. Someone saw him with the gun in his lap as he was driving and reported this to police. He stated when stopped by police, he indicated the weapon was his because the car belonged to his girlfriend and he did not want her to receive any punishment.

In regard to punishment, Mr. Noname stated he knows his behavior was wrong, but he isn't certain what would be appropriate for this law violation. He wanted to leave the punishment to the judge and God. He did request the judge be informed he takes full and complete responsibility for his actions and will face whatever is bestowed upon him "like a man." He admitted he wasn't thinking about potential consequences and believed he was doing well, causing him to forget that having a felony on his record made the possession of a weapon illegal. He confessed he would not make this mistake again. He stated he has much to lose by incarceration. He believes he has the power to positively influence people and people look up to him. He is ashamed of his behavior, but has learned from his mistake.

**Victim's Statement(s):**

Due to the nature of this offense, there is no direct victim to interview. However, the negative sentiments of an increasing weapon presence in the neighborhoods and their involvement in increased homicides should be taken into account and considered when sentencing this offender.

**Other Statement(s):**

Not Applicable

## PRIOR RECORD

### Adult Record:

Date of Offense	Date of Conviction	Date of Sentencing	Location	Offense
06/29/1999	08/13/1999	09/05/1999	Milwaukee	Disorderly Conduct, 99CM000551
Disposition: 2 years probation. Revoked				
07/28/2001	08/30/2001	09/15/2001	Milwaukee	Fleeing, Hit and Run, 01CF00256
Disposition: 3 years Wisconsin Prison System. 18 months initial confinement, 18 months extended supervision				

### Juvenile Record:

Date of Offense	Date of Adjudication	Date of Disposition	Location	Offense
unknown	unknown	unknown		
No juvenile record				

**Other Relevant Offenses:**

Not Applicable

**Correctional Experience:**

In November of 1998, the defendant had his first contact with law enforcement. He was arrested for loitering and received a ticket which he never paid.

On 1/27/99, the defendant was issued a municipal citation for disorderly conduct.

On 7/1/99 he was again arrested for disorderly conduct. He was found guilty and on 9/07/99 he was sentenced 60 days jail. The sentence was stayed and the defendant was placed on probation for two years. He followed his rules but failed to enroll back in school.

On 7/21/99, he was issued a citation for SAR and on 8/20/99 he received a citation for a pedestrian violation.

On 6/30/00, the defendant was arrested for Take and Drive a Vehicle Without Owner's consent when he was stopped by police while driving a stolen car. The charges were dropped because of confusion over whether the defendant knew the car was stolen. However, he was cited for excessive sound and SAR.

On 07/30/01, he was arrested for Fleeing and was also charged with two counts of Hit and Run, which were dismissed and read in. On 09/17/01 he was sentenced to prison. When released on ES supervision, he had several violations including a dirty UA (THC) and continuing to drive without a valid license.

On 3-25-04, he was questioned by police and gave the false name of Donte Rice and at the same time had in his possession a picture ID of himself as Donte Rice. This occurred at 42nd Street and Holton. Revocation proceeding were started, however, the defendant was offered an ATR by being placed on Electronic Monitoring and allowed to work as a barber.

He discharged from ES supervision on 6-23-04, successfully without incident on EMP.

**Pending Charges:**

Presently, this defendant does not have any additional cases pending in the Milwaukee County Circuit Court.

**Defendant's Explanation of Record:**

The defendant's prior offense involved him engaging in a feud with other teenagers and confronting a group of juveniles as they exited a building. He removed a chrome semi-automatic handgun from his waistband and held it in the air to intimidate the other subjects. The defendant fled the scene before the police arrived.

When asked to explain his prior record, Mr. Noname relayed his involvement in the Criminal Justice System was the result of growing up and making poor choices/mistakes. He stated he failed to consider consequences of his actions and was hanging around with the wrong people and was doing things out of character. Mr. Noname considered himself a trusting person who believes certain people are his friends. This consistently ends in trouble. Mr. Noname indicated he was successful in his incarceration term as well as on extended supervision.

## FAMILY

### IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

#### Mother

Name	Street Address	City	State	Zip
Geena Noname	4391 Sumac Pl	Milwaukee	WI	55555

Geena Noname received her Bachelor's degree in Education in 1975. She was employed in the Milwaukee School District for 25 years and is currently retired. According to CCAP, she has no criminal record.

#### Father

Name	Street Address	City	State	Zip
Robert Noname	2601 Evansville Rd	Milwaukee	WI	55555

Robert Noname is a high school graduate and owns his own construction business titled, Noname and Associates Construction. He also has owned and managed various properties. According to CCAP, he was previously convicted of Disorderly Conduct and received probation.

#### Spouse/Significant Other

Name	Street Address	City	State	Zip
Latoya Harris	1405 Langdon St Apt. A	Milwaukee	WI	55555

The Defendant's fiancé, Latoya Harris currently resides with the defendant in Milwaukee. Latoya completed high school and cosmetology school and is currently employed as a hairstylist. According to CCAP, she was arrested for retail theft and served 30 days in jail.

#### Dependents

Name	Street Address	City	State	Zip
Aquarius Campbell	unknown	Milwaukee	WI	55555
Nyiara Noname	unknown	Milwaukee	WI	55555
Savion Noname	8934 Peachtree St	Atlanta	GA	34126

Mr. Noname has three children with three different women. Aquarius Campbell (10 yrs) is the oldest child of the defendant and Tequilla Campbell. He has another daughter, Nyiara Noname (8 yrs) who was born to he and Marla Strauder. Savion Noname (6 yrs) is his youngest son born to he and Shaneka Sloan.

#### Siblings

Name	Street Address	City	State	Zip
Jared Noname	3099 Locust St	Milwaukee	WI	55555

Mr. Noname has one brother, Jared Noname. He stated that he has maintained a good relationship with him over the years. Jared (30 yrs) is a college graduate and is currently employed as a nurse at Children's Hospital in Milwaukee. He has no criminal record.

**Other**

Name	Street Address	City	State	Zip

Not Applicable

## FAMILY STABILITY, ATTITUDES, & VALUES



### Family Criminality

**Family Criminality Scale Score: Probable**

Mr. ROBERT NONAME's family members (parents and/or siblings) were reported as having some involvement in criminal activity, drugs, and/or alcohol abuse. Mr. ROBERT NONAME may need to minimize or structure the contact with certain members of his/her family to minimize adverse influence or exposure to inappropriate substance use and to avoid modeling of violent or criminal behaviors.



### Socialization Failure

**Socialization Failure Scale Score: Unlikely**

The Socialization Failure Scale score suggests that Mr. ROBERT NONAME's is unlikely to have an impaired socialization.

**Agent Comments:**

Mr. Noname was born in Milwaukee to parents, Geena Noname and Robert Noname. He stated his parents were never married and he was the only child of their relationship. Until the age of 7, Mr. Noname was raised by his mother and he reported not having a close relationship with her. At that time, his father requested full custody of his son and, due to financial reasons, was granted his request. The defendant stated he has not seen his mother in years. Geena Noname does not have a criminal record according to CCAP, whereas Robert does have a Disorderly Conduct. Both of the defendant's parents have had stable employment through his childhood into adulthood.

Mr. Noname explained his early childhood with his mother was difficult due to her distant nature, and he was financially deprived of many things. After moving in with his father, both money and relational issues were better. His father was very educationally involved and demanded that Mr. Noname receive good grades. He was frequently ordered to study for many hours every day and had his social activities limited as a result. He felt his father was very strict in this regard. When he was allowed freedoms as he got older, he went "wild." He acknowledged making poor choices. He called his dad a "military father." He was a strict disciplinarian, utilizing grounding and privilege withdrawal as his punishments. He did not report any physical abuse. When describing his relationship with his father, Mr. Noname stated he and his

dad are very close. He feels he can talk to him about anything. He stated his father is very disappointed in this present offense, but has told his son that now he is a man and must accept responsibility like a man.

This writer did have the opportunity to speak with the defendant's father, Robert Noname. He stated he raised his son from the age of 5 until adulthood. He felt his son was an intelligent man. Mr. Noname had no complaints concerning his son when he was a child. He stated his son hung around with the wrong crowd. To date, he feels his son is with a woman with whom he can make a future. He has four children he needs to support and has the ability to do that.

During the interview with Robert Noname senior he stated his son has recently been having some difficulties with his personal safety. Mr. Noname contended his son has been "jumped, jacked and robbed" several times by the same individuals. He further stated most likely his son purchased the weapon for protection. Mr. Noname stated he is always there for his son, but now that he is an adult he is responsible for his own decision making skills. He has taught him to consider consequences and weigh outcomes and hopes now he has internalized the importance of this. Mr. Noname further reported his son is aware that he will need to somehow change his social life to live more conflict free. Mr. Noname hoped his son would be given a second chance and stated sometimes that is necessary. However, he also felt he would rather see his son in jail than visit his grave. He was well aware that fights are no longer customary and people do not hesitate to kill in settling disputes. Furthermore, he did not wish to make his son a statistic of the madness. In closing Mr. Noname stated life choices make or break a person and for every action there is a consequence that needs consideration.

With regard to other family involvement, Mr. Noname has three children with three different women. His oldest daughter is Aquarius Campbell (10 yrs). Mr. Noname stated he and her mother, Tequilla Campbell, grew up together and had been best friends for a very long time before developing a relationship resulting in the birth of their daughter. He stated the romantic interest lasted about a year, but they continue to remain good friends at present. Mr. Noname's second daughter is Nyiara Noname (8 yrs). Her mother, Marla Strauder was introduced to him by a friend at the barber shop. He stated they dated for two years, but mutually decided to separate after deciding they had grown apart. His youngest child is Savion Noname (6 yrs). Mr. Noname indicated the mother, Shaneka Sloan, had moved with their son to Atlanta, Georgia causing their separation. She has since moved back allowing more consistent contact with his son. Mr. Noname contends he maintains routine contact with all of his children, but stated he is behind in court ordered child support by about \$13,000. He stated he is making serious efforts at payments as able.

The defendant and Latoya Harris were engaged 3 years ago and reportedly have a "great" relationship. During an interview with Ms. Harris, she stated they communicate well, have similar interests and are always there for each other. She also discussed that the defendant continues to maintain an active role with his children and she has also developed a relationship with them. With regard to the offense, she believes he realizes his actions were wrong and is working on making more positive choices in many areas of his life. She added she will continue to support him.

**PERSONAL HISTORY**

**VOCATION/EDUCATION/EMPLOYMENT**

**Education History**

<b>Name</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip Code</b>	<b>Phone Number</b>	<b>Length</b>
Rufus King High School	Milwaukee	WI	53209	(414) 267-0700	N/A
Kilmer South	Milwaukee	WI	53216		N/A
Milwaukee Area Technical College	Milwaukee	WI	53233		N/A

**Employment History**

<b>Employer</b>	<b>Occupation</b>	<b>Supervisor</b>	<b>Phone</b>	<b>Length</b>
Julia Myrick	Barber	Julia Myrick		09/2013 -
Nex-Level	Barber	Unknown		09/2000 - 10/2004



## Vocation/Education

### **Vocational/Education Scale Score: Unlikely**

The Vocational and Education Scale score indicates Mr. ROBERT NONAME does not need vocational or educational treatment intervention.

#### **Agent Comments:**

The SLOSSON ORAL READING TEST was administered to Mr. Noname to which he scored the reading ability of a 12.5 grade student.

Mr. Noname indicated he did receive his GED while incarcerated at Prairie Du Chien in 2002. This information was verified through an official copy of his transcript and GED results located in his terminated DOC file.

Mr. Noname stated his first two years of High School were spent at Rufus King. He recalled he was behind in credits and therefore sent to Kilmer South to catch up. While in school he stated his grades were rather low due to poor peer influence. He felt high school was an entirely different environment for him and he was more involved in partying than his education at that time. His attendance and overall behavior were good. He did acknowledge he was the class clown, not extremely disruptive, but enjoyed making jokes. While in school he was involved in Basketball, Forensics and the Debate Team.

Mr. Noname did provide this writer with paper copies of his certifications for his GED as well as high school report cards indicating his attendance as stated. It should also be noted he did attend course work at WCTC for Milwaukee Career Quest in 1995.

Mr. Noname is presently enrolled at MATC for Barbary/Cosmetology. He indicated he has an additional 1.5 years to complete. He is presently working on getting his cutting hours completed and does cut hair at a Barber Shop presently. Following his education, he plans to continue in school for his instructor's license.

Mr. Noname is presently employed by Julia Myrick and works full time cutting hair. He brought in pictures to demonstrate his work and stated that he is very involved in creative cutting including shaved artwork. He stated many of his clients are neighboring high school students who specifically come to him for his superior hair cutting ability. He stated many of these individuals look up to him and are very disappointed to hear of his recent involvement in this offense. He stated he has utilized this as a tool to tell them to stay out of trouble and protect their freedom.

Mr. Noname indicated he has also worked at Nex-Level doing hair cutting as well. This position lasted from 2000-2004. He stated while he enjoyed his work there he did not feel the atmosphere was professional and wanted the recognition of a more professional salon. This information is congruent with the assessment results.

## **MENTAL ABILITY**

#### **Agent Comments:**

Mr. Noname stated that he has never been diagnosed with a developmental disability. This writer also observed that the defendant was able to actively engage in the interview process and reported understanding all questions asked.

## FINANCIAL



### Financial

#### **Financial Scale Score: Highly Probable**

Mr. ROBERT NONAME's Financial Scale score indicates that he is likely to have financial problems. He is likely to worry about financial stability, has trouble paying bills, and may have conflicts with family or friends over money. If this is the case, he would likely benefit from a class on financial management, job skills or vocational/employment training. He may also require assistance understanding and negotiating social assistance such as welfare, food stamps, and unemployment compensation. A case plan may also prioritize stabilizing his income and developing budgeting skills.

#### **Agent Comments:**

Presently, Mr. Noname is earning approximately \$400 per week. He stated he has interests in designing his own hair book as well as sponsoring a hair show in the future. While he reports little to no problems paying current bills, he does comment on his concern of long term financial stability. This in part stems from significant child support debts, which are currently approximately \$13,000. As is reflected in his assessment he does worry about paying back his child support and reports he is working on creating a plan to do just that. In addition to child support he also acknowledges a need to address his outstanding court costs and fines from his latest court case, which total approximately \$1800.

## COMPANIONS



### Criminal Associates/Peers

#### **Criminal Associates/Peers Scale Score: Probable**

The Criminal Associates and Peers Scale score indicates Mr. ROBERT NONAME likely has a modest involvement with antisocial friends. Restricting his contact with any current antisocial associates may help to reduce criminal opportunity. Encourage Mr. ROBERT NONAME to build more affiliations with pro-social peers in various pro-social activities.



### Social Isolation

#### **Social Isolation Scale Score: Probable**

Mr. ROBERT NONAME's Social Isolation Scale score suggests that he may lack a supportive social network that he relies on regularly and during difficult times. He may also exhibit feelings of isolation and loneliness. If this is the case, he may benefit from participating in activities with pro-social people such as becoming involved in a church group, recreational activities, volunteering, and taking fitness classes.

#### **Agent Comments:**

As is indicated in the Assessment results, Mr. Noname has both positive and negative influences in his life. Mr. Noname reports having some friends who he has known since high school who are criminally involved and/or are gang members.

Some of these friends do have criminal records. He did report he is trying to minimize the time he spends with his friends that are still gang involved, as he feels they are not a good influence on him. He also states some of his friends are positive and enjoy playing basketball, cards and watching sporting events. They support him in prosocial activities and these are the friends he is trying to spend more time around. Mr. Noname states he does not associate with any known drug users or dealers. Likewise, he reports never being involved in a gang.

In discussing positive supports in his life, Mr. Noname references his good friend and coworker Ray who has acted as a mentor and support in the past. He indicates a desire to remain close with Ray because he respects how successful Ray has been in the haircutting business and would like to learn from him.

## EMOTIONAL AND PHYSICAL HEALTH

### Agent Comments:

Mr. Noname stated he has never been diagnosed with a learning disability, mental health or substance abuse problem. He is not presently taking any medications and has never been prescribed medications to aid with anxiety, depression, mood swings, thinking problems or controlling his behavior. Mr. Noname denied any suicidal thoughts or attempts at this endeavor. Mr. Noname reported having had a hernia surgery in 2001 while in prison. Aside from that he is in fine physical health. He also does not report any sexual victimization or traumatic events in his past.

## SEXUAL BEHAVIOR

### Agent Comments:

Not Applicable

## ATTITUDES AND BELIEFS



### Criminal Personality

#### **Criminal Personality Scale Score: Highly Probable**

Mr. ROBERT NONAME's scale score indicates a tendency toward an antisocial personality. This may include factors such as: impulsivity, risk-taking, boredom, no guilt, selfishness, anger, and so on. Referral for a more in-depth personality assessment may be warranted. This score, in some cases, may also indicate a resistance to treatment. Impulsive decision-making, if detected, may be amenable to some form of cognitive therapy. Mr. ROBERT NONAME may need high levels of control.



### Criminal Thinking Self Report

#### **Criminal Thinking Self Report Scale Score: Highly Probable**

Mr. ROBERT NONAME's Self-Report Criminal Thinking Scale score indicates that he is likely to rationalize his criminal behavior. He is unlikely to accept responsibility for his actions and may minimize the seriousness and consequences of

his criminal behavior. If this is the case, then a cognitive restructuring intervention is advisable. This program should focus on modifying his criminal attitudes and thinking patterns and implementing pro-social reframes. Responsivity to other forms of treatment may be low while the person continues to excuse and rationalize his behavior; therefore cognitive restructuring interventions might be sequenced prior to other types of programs, treatments or placements.



### **Anger**

#### **Anger Scale Score: Probable**

Mr. ROBERT NONAME's Anger Management Scale score suggests he is likely to have difficulty managing and controlling his anger. He may be quick to anger and often loses his temper. If this is the case, then he may benefit from anger management classes or assertiveness training. These classes will help him understand what triggers his anger and alternative ways of expressing his feelings.



### **Cognitive Behavior**

#### **Cognitive Behavioral Scale Score: Probable**

Mr. ROBERT NONAME's Cognitive Behavioral/Psychological score suggests the presence of some anti-social attitudes. In some cases these may include moral justification for his criminal behavior, refusing to accept responsibility, blaming the victim, rationalizations (excuses) that minimize the seriousness and consequences of his criminal activity, etc. He may have some elements of a high risk lifestyle such as: idleness, boredom and impulsive decision-making. If such issues are detected a cognitive therapy program coupled with more positive role models, more socially productive activities, and the development of positive social bonds may be warranted. In some cases a more in-depth mental health assessment may be in order.



### **Social Adjustment Problems**

#### **Social Adjustment Problems Scale Score: Highly Probable**

A highly probable score on this scale suggests that Mr. ROBERT NONAME is likely to have problematic relationships in multiple social contexts such as family, school and work. He would likely benefit from classes that can improve his social skills that may help build his social supports, particularly prosocial supports. A cognitive program aimed at improving his success in social contexts may be appropriate.

#### **Agent Comments:**

Mr. Noname told this writer that he is very sorry for what happened and he knows now that his behavior was wrong. He states at the time that he forgot he could not carry a weapon. Due to these statements he seems able to take partial, but not full responsibility. He does appear to be rationalizing and minimizing his behavior to a degree by commenting "...but it really wasn't that big of a deal, no one got hurt." Mr. Noname's assessment indicates low impulse control. This is exhibited in his behavior of possessing the gun despite knowing he should not have one in his possession.

Mr. Noname stated he is trying to make positive changes in his life and believes he can be successful in staying out of trouble in the future. He believes everyone has the ability to succeed if they just put their mind to it. He maintains barbering has given him a second chance on life. His passion and motivation in this line of work will pull him through these hard times.

In the past Mr. Noname reported he has not been as focused on the success of his children and fiancé, but realizes he needs to put them first more than he does. He comments "life is more than just looking out for number one."

Mr. Noname believes he should be held accountable for what he did. Despite saying he forgot at the time, he now acknowledges he should not have possessed the firearm and whatever the judge decides will be what he deserves.

## SUBSTANCE USAGE HISTORY

Substance	Amount	Frequency	Last Used
THC (Marijuana, Hashish, etc)	1 joint	infrequent	07/2013
Alcohol	1 shot	Infrequent	11/2013



### Substance Abuse

#### Substance Abuse Scale Score: Unlikely

Mr. ROBERT NONAME's substance abuse scale score suggests that he is unlikely to have a serious substance abuse problem. However, in some cases a substance abuse education program may still be appropriate.

#### Agent Comments:

Mr. Noname stated he does not consider himself to be an alcohol or drug abuser. He tried alcohol for the first time at the legal age of 21 and generally utilizes it in celebration having a "shot" after a hair show. He stated he tried Marijuana at the age of 17 and last used in early July of this year. He too contends this use is purely for special occasions and not a daily habit. Mr. Noname denied the use of any additional illegal substances. He has never used needles to inject drugs. Again, he reiterated he does not consider himself to have a drug habit. He stated his initial introduction to alcohol was at the "club scene." He claimed that use has no effect on him.

In regard to treatment, Mr. Noname denies any need in this area. The UNCOPE AODA screening tool was administered to Mr. Noname which confirmed his claim that treatment in this area is not necessary.

## MILITARY

#### Agent Comments:

Not Applicable

## LEISURE TIME ACTIVITIES



### Leisure and Recreation

Leisure and Recreation Scale Score: Probable

Mr. ROBERT NONAME's Leisure and Recreation Scale score suggest he may not engage in pro-social activities. He may exhibit feelings of boredom, restlessness, or an inability to maintain interest in a single activity for any length of time. If this is the case, then increasing prosocial activities that are of interest to him may be important. Brainstorming and identifying the types of activities available in his community may be helpful.



**Criminal Opportunity**

**Criminal Opportunity Scale Score: Probable**

Mr. ROBERT NONAME's criminal opportunity scale score suggests a tendency towards a fairly high-risk lifestyle. This may involve limited prosocial ties, and a rising level of high-risk high-crime opportunity situations, perhaps in the company of high-risk persons. There may also be an inadequate level of pro-social or constructive activities (e.g., working, spending time with family) and an absence of social ties, high boredom and high restlessness. It may be important to promote increased involvement in more positive and socially constructive persons and activities. Case management strategies may focus on structuring daily activities and minimizing idle time.

**Agent Comments:**

Mr. Noname states he enjoys spending time with his children. He takes them to parks and sporting events when he is not working. In addition to his passion for his barbering, he also states he likes to cook.

The defendant also told this writer he plays a weekly basketball game with his friends. He also reports he does not usually have any downtime until after his kids go to bed.

**RESIDENTIAL HISTORY**

Address	City	State	Zip	Date Resided
1405 Langdon Street	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	55555	08/01/2013



**Residential Instability**

**Residential Instability Scale Score: Unlikely**

Mr. ROBERT NONAME's relatively low scale score suggests he likely has a fairly stable lifestyle, residence and adequate social ties to the community.



**Social Environment**

**Social Environment Scale Score: Highly Probable**

Mr. ROBERT NONAME's Social Environment Scale score suggests that he is likely to live in an environment characterized by high rates of crime, drugs and gangs. If this is the case, then he may benefit from relocating to a lower risk neighborhood, if possible. Explore potential alternative living arrangements with prosocial family and friends. If relocating is not possible, seeking safety or implementing strategies (e.g. staying inside after dark) to keep him safe and out of trouble in his neighborhood may be important. Treatment may also focus on improving residential arrangements and employability.

**Agent Comments:**

The defendant lived with his mother until he was 7. They lived in the same house for that whole time. At the age of 7 the defendant's father got full custody and he lived with his father in the house that his father still resides in.

Mr. Noname has had stable residence for the past year in the apartment he rents on Langdon St. He did report that the neighborhood has some less than desirable areas, but he is trying to stay away from those and feels they are lessening. Prior to this he resided in the same neighborhood for the past 7 years with the mothers of his children.

**DEFENDANT STRENGTHS**

**Motivated in his current line of employment**

**Current stable living situation**

**High school graduate or GED**


**Age 30 or Greater**

**Currently Employed**

**Current Skill or Trade**


## Overall Risk Potential

### Risk




## Criminogenic Need Scales

### Criminal Involvement



### Relationships/Lifestyle




### Personality/Attitudes



### Family



### Social Exclusion



## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The COMPAS is an actuarial assessment tool which has been validated on a national norming population. This means that it predicts the general likelihood that those with a similar history of offending are either less likely or more likely to commit another crime generally within the two year period following release from custody. The COMPAS assessment does not, however, attempt to predict specifically the likelihood that an individual offender will commit a certain type of specific offense within the same two year period. For that prediction, an alternative screening which is normed specifically for that offender population (i.e. use of screeners such as the STATIC-99R, VASOR, etc. for sex offenders) may be used.

In addition to identifying general levels of risk to re-offend, COMPAS also identifies criminogenic needs specific to that offender which are most likely to impact future criminal behavior. For purposes of Evidence Based Sentencing, actuarial assessment tools are especially relevant to: 1. Identify offenders who should be targeted for interventions. 2. Identify dynamic risk factors to target with conditions of supervision. It is important to remember that while risk scores may assist in informing sentencing decisions based on the risk principle to target medium and high risk individuals for intervention, they should never be the sole and deciding factor in determining the severity of the sentence or whether an offender is incarcerated.

### **AGENT IMPRESSIONS**

Mr. Noname is scheduled to appear before the Court on a Class G Felony offense. This offense represents extremely poor judgment on the part of this offender. He was recently supervised on extended supervision, after spending time in prison for a felony. This writer has a difficult time believing that he "forgot" being in possession of a weapon constituted additional criminal behavior. The COMPAS assessment indicates high criminogenic need areas pertaining to criminal thinking patterns. However, over the course of the last month, Mr. Noname seems to be coming into a clearer realization of the seriousness of his behavior. Initially in both the assessment, as well as during the interview, the defendant seemed to rationalize his behavior and minimize the impact of offense because there was no immediate victim. However, in subsequent interactions, he did voice an increased level of responsibility and willingness to accept the consequences of this behavior.

Mr. Noname has a track record of being involved with the criminal justice system. However, while interviewing him, his current behavior did appear out of character for him, in relation to his current life goals. He appeared very motivated to achieve his dream of becoming a renowned Barber.

Mr. Noname is fortunate the public was not directly harmed during this offense. It is believed this can be used as a learning experience for this defendant to always keep in mind his prior convictions and the implications those convictions will have on him for the rest of his life.

Mr. Noname appears to have a very stable family with appropriate support. He also reports being very motivated in his career. This is an important area in which to foster additional growth and skills, as his financial status does appear to be a criminogenic area for him. Continued career development will allow Mr. Noname more opportunities to address his outstanding financial obligations. He is also older now and reports his maturity is a benefit in making better decisions regarding his career and life goals.

Considering Mr. Noname's motivation for change and current strengths, this writer believes he should receive an imposed and stayed jail term with probation supervision. This recommendation is based on the fact that he now appears to have stability in many key areas and he previously successfully completed his last term of supervision, albeit on Electronic Monitoring.

**RESTITUTION**

Due to the nature of this offense no restitution is to be determined for this defendant.

**AGENT RECOMMENDATION**

Mr. Noname stands before the court on a class G Felony for FIPOF. This offense carries a maximum imprisonment term of 10 years with an initial confinement of 5 years. Based on this defendant's recent positive advances in the community and yet taking into account the serious nature of this offense the following recommendation is being made. It is respectfully recommended that Mr. Noname receive a one year sentence at the HOC straight time to be imposed and stayed placing him on a period of 2 years probation supervision with the conditions listed below.

**RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF PROBATION OR EXTENDED SUPERVISION**

(Consideration given for local programing such as specialty courts, restorative justice, or other diversion.)

Full time employment  
No weapons  
Payment of all court obligations and supervision fees  
Complete all other programming as deemed appropriate by the COMPAS assessment and the Department of Corrections.

**ANTICIPATED SUPERVISION PLAN**

If the Court orders probation, the Department would supervise Mr. Noname in accordance with the risk assessment and Departmental policies and procedures. Given that he does not pose a significant risk to reoffend per the assessment, his reporting frequency and exposure to the probation office would be limited.

Together with the agent, a realistic supervision case plan would be developed to address the top criminogenic need areas highlighted above. This would likely include intensive homework, role play, and skill building sessions aimed at challenging his predisposition toward criminal thinking. These interventions would likely be individualized as opposed to a group process, considering his lower risk score.

Mr. Noname's general progress would be monitored through to successful completion and reviews of his adjustment under supervision would occur every 6 months. The agent would also monitor the payment of Court obligations and Department supervision fees. Violations of supervision would be swiftly addressed with appropriate sanctions in a manner consistent with established policies and procedures.

Respectfully submitted,

Abbey Fuszard  
Probation and Parole Agent #33333

---

Patty Beyer-Robinson  
Corrections Field Supervisor  
Unit 333

---

### Sources of Information

1. Interview with the offender
2. Records of the Milwaukee Police Department.
3. Records of the Milwaukee District Attorney's office
4. Records of NCIC/CIB and FBI (Portal 100)
5. Records of the Wisconsin Circuit Court Automation Program
6. Milwaukee County Children's Court Records
7. Certificate verification
8. Prairie Du Chien GED scores
9. Phone interview with defendant's father, Robert Noname